

FROM OBSERVABLE REALITY TO BANKABLE EVIDENCE

A Testable Hypothesis for a New Layer of Economic Infrastructure

Existing technologies and institutions provide substantial capabilities to **observe, digitize, process, and finance physical reality**.

Earth observation and geospatial intelligence. Sensors and IoT. Digital twins. Artificial intelligence. Agricultural, water, energy, and urban infrastructure. Financial institutions and capital markets.

These capabilities make it possible to understand reality with increasing precision.

Integrated Value System - IVS poses a different question:

When does accurately observed reality become economically admissible evidence for capital?

IVS has been designed to investigate and measure that transition. It does not propose replacing existing infrastructure. It proposes determining whether capabilities that already exist can be connected through a new relationship:

Reality → Observation → Evidence → Economic Admissibility → Risk → Capital

The hypothesis is testable. If new traceable evidence objectively improves knowledge of the actual condition of a productive asset, it should be possible to measure whether that improvement reduces uncertainty, changes its risk assessment, improves valuation, lowers verification costs, modifies financing conditions, or enables capital that was previously inaccessible.

IVS does not assume the outcome. It measures it.

For this reason, the initial proposal is not to build a new large-scale infrastructure, nor to request an investment. It is to select an **existing productive asset or system** and measure what changes before and after additional evidence is introduced:

U0 → U1 Uncertainty	R0 → R1 Risk	FA0 → FA1 Financeability
------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

The test would rely, to the greatest extent possible, on **existing assets, infrastructure, capabilities, and data**. Its outcome may be positive, partial, or negative. That falsifiability is essential.

**We are not initially proposing an investment.
We are proposing a test.**

The initial assessment should answer only three questions:

1. Does economic admissibility constitute a differentiated function relative to the capabilities currently available?
2. Is there an asset or productive system suitable for testing that function?
3. Can the resulting evidence materially alter a real economic or financial decision?

If the answer can be demonstrated, a broader architecture emerges:

Reality → Information → Evidence → Capital → New Reality

The process can then begin again - not as an isolated transaction, but as a continuous infrastructure for producing traceable economic evidence.

The question we propose to test is deliberately simple:

Can a verifiable improvement in the observation of reality produce a verifiable improvement in its ability to access capital?

If this can be demonstrated in an existing productive system, it would support the hypothesis that a new layer of economic infrastructure merits further examination.

Franco Righetti

Architect of Integrated Value Systems